



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Red supergiant stars as cosmic abundance probes

Citation for published version:

Patrick, LR, Evans, CJ, Davies, B, Kudritzki, RP, Gazak, JZ, Bergemann, M, Plez, B & Ferguson, AMN 2015, 'Red supergiant stars as cosmic abundance probes: KMOS observations in NGC 6822', *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 803, no. 1, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/14>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/14](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/14)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Astrophysical Journal

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



RED SUPERGIANT STARS AS COSMIC ABUNDANCE PROBES: KMOS OBSERVATIONS IN NGC 6822

L. R. PATRICK¹, C. J. EVANS^{1,2}, B. DAVIES³, R-P. KUDRITZKI^{4,5}, J. Z. GAZAK⁴, M. BERGEMANN⁶, B. PLEZ⁷, AND A. M. N. FERGUSON¹¹ Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK² UK Astronomy Technology Centre, Royal Observatory Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK³ Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool Science Park ic2, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK⁴ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA⁵ University Observatory Munich, Scheinerstr. 1, D-81679 Munich, Germany⁶ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK⁷ Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, Université Montpellier 2, CNRS, F-34095 Montpellier, France

Received 2014 October 31; accepted 2015 January 23; published 2015 April 7

ABSTRACT

We present near-IR spectroscopy of red supergiant (RSG) stars in NGC 6822, obtained with the new *K*-band Multi-Object Spectrograph Very Large Telescope, Chile. From comparisons with model spectra in the *J*-band we determine the metallicity of 11 RSGs, finding a mean value of $[Z] = -0.52 \pm 0.21$, which agrees well with previous abundance studies of young stars and H II regions. We also find an indication for a low-significance abundance gradient within the central 1 kpc. We compare our results with those derived from older stellar populations and investigate the difference using a simple chemical evolution model. By comparing the physical properties determined for RSGs in NGC 6822 with those derived using the same technique in the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds, we show that there appears to be no significant temperature variation of RSGs with respect to metallicity, in contrast to recent evolutionary models.

Key words: galaxies: individual (NGC 6822) – stars: abundances – stars: supergiants

1. INTRODUCTION

A promising new method to directly probe chemical abundances in external galaxies is with *J*-band spectroscopy of red supergiant (RSG) stars. With their peak flux at $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ and luminosities in excess of $10^4 L_\odot$, RSGs are extremely bright in the near-IR, making them potentially useful tracers of the chemical abundances of star-forming galaxies out to large distances. To realise this goal, Davies et al. (2010) outlined a technique to derive metallicities of RSGs at moderate spectral resolving power ($R \sim 3000$). This technique has recently been refined using observations of RSGs in the Magellanic Clouds (Davies et al. 2015) and Perseus OB-1 (Gazak et al. 2014b). Using absorption lines in the *J*-band from iron, silicon and titanium, one can estimate metallicity ($[Z] = \log Z/Z_\odot$) and other stellar parameters (effective temperature, surface gravity and microturbulence) by fitting synthetic spectra to the observations. Owing to their intrinsic brightness, RSGs are ideal candidates for studies of extragalactic environments in the near-IR.

To make full use of the potential of RSGs for this science, multi-object spectrographs operating in the near-IR on 8 m class telescopes are essential. These instruments allow us to observe a large sample of RSGs in a given galaxy, at a wavelength where RSGs are brightest. In this context, the *K*-band Multi-Object Spectrograph (KMOS; Sharples et al. 2013) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT), Chile, is a powerful facility. KMOS will enable determination of stellar abundances for RSGs out to distances of ~ 10 Mpc. Further ahead, a near-IR multi-object spectrograph on a 40 m class telescope, combined with the excellent image quality from adaptive optics, will enable abundance estimates for individual stars in galaxies out to tens of Mpc, a significant volume of the local universe containing entire galaxy clusters (Evans et al. 2011).

Here we present KMOS observations of RSGs in the dwarf irregular galaxy NGC 6822, at a distance of ~ 0.46 Mpc

(McConnachie 2012 and references therein). Chemical abundances have been determined for its old stellar population (e.g., Tolstoy et al. 2001; Kirby et al. 2013), but knowledge of its recent chemical evolution and present-day abundances is somewhat limited. Observations of two A-type supergiants by Venn et al. (2001) provided a first estimate of stellar abundances, finding $\log(\text{Fe}/\text{H}) + 12 = 7.01 \pm 0.22$ and $\log(\text{O}/\text{H}) + 12 = 8.36 \pm 0.19$, based on line-formation calculations for these elements assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). A detailed non-LTE study for one of these objects confirmed the results finding 6.96 ± 0.09 for iron and 8.30 ± 0.02 for oxygen (Przybilla 2002). Compared with solar values of 7.50 and 8.69, respectively (Asplund et al. 2009), this indicates abundances that are approximately one-third solar in NGC 6822. A study of oxygen abundances in H II regions (Lee et al. 2006) found a value of 8.11 ± 0.10 , confirming the low metallicity.

NGC 6822 is a relatively isolated Local Group galaxy, which does not seem to be associated with either M31 or the Milky Way. It appears to have a large extended stellar halo (Letarte et al. 2002; Hwang et al. 2014) as well as an extended H I disk containing tidal arms and a possible H I companion (de Blok & Walter 2000). The H I disk is orientated perpendicular to the distribution of old halo stars and has an associated population of blue stars (de Blok & Walter 2003; Komiyama et al. 2003). This led Demers et al. (2006) to label the system as a “polar ring galaxy.” A population of remote star clusters aligned with the elongated old stellar halo have been discovered (Hwang et al. 2011; Huxor et al. 2013). In summary, the extended structures of NGC 6822 suggest some form of recent interaction.

In addition, there is evidence for a relatively constant star-formation history within the central 5 kpc (Weisz et al. 2014) with multiple stellar populations (Battinelli et al. 2006; Sibbons et al. 2012). This includes evidence for recent star formation in




Figure 1. Two-color diagram for stars with good detections in the optical and near-IR photometry in NGC 6822. The black dashed line marks the selection criteria using optical colors, as defined by Levesque & Massey (2012). Red circles mark all stars that satisfied our selection criteria. Large blue stars denote targets observed with KMOS. The solid black line marks the foreground reddening vector for $E(B - V) = 0.22$ (Schlegel et al. 1998).

the form of a known population of massive stars, as well as a number of H II regions (Venn et al. 2001; de Blok & Walter 2006; Hernández-Martínez et al. 2009; Levesque & Massey 2012).

In this paper we present near-IR KMOS spectroscopy of RSGs in NGC 6822 to investigate their chemical abundances. In Section 2 we describe the observations; Section 3 describes the data reduction, and Section 4 details the derived stellar parameters and investigates the spatial distribution of the estimated metallicities in NGC 6822. In Section 5 we discuss our results, and Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Target Selection

Our targets were selected from optical photometry (Massey et al. 2007), combined with near-IR (JHK_s) photometry (for details see Sibbons et al. 2012) from the Wide-Field Camera (WFCAM) on the UKIRT. The two catalogs were cross-matched and only sources classified as stellar in the photometry for all filters were considered.

Our spectroscopic targets were selected principally based on their optical colors, as defined by Massey (1998) and Levesque & Massey (2012). Figure 1 shows cross-matched stars, with the dividing line at $(B - V) = 1.25 \times (V - R) + 0.45$. All stars redder than this line, above a given magnitude threshold, and with $V - R > 0.6$ are potential RSGs.

Distinguishing between RSGs and the most luminous stars on the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) is difficult owing to their similar temperatures and overlapping luminosities. Near-IR photometry can help to delineate these populations, with RSGs located in a relatively well-defined region in the near-IR ($J - K$) color-magnitude diagram (CMD), as discussed by Nikolaev & Weinberg (2000). The near-IR CMD from the WFCAM data is shown in Figure 2 and was used to further inform our target selection. Employing the updated CMD

criteria from Cioni et al. (2014)—modified for the distance and reddening to NGC 6822—all of our potential targets from the combined optical and near-IR criteria are (notionally) RSGs. Near-IR photometry for our targets was also given by Whitelock et al. (2013) from observations with the Infrared Survey Facility; we note that from their analysis of the multi-epoch data, not one of our targets was identified as an AGB star.

The combined selection methods yielded 58 candidate RSGs, from which 18 stars were observed with KMOS, as shown in Figure 3. The selection of the final targets was defined by the KMOS arm allocation software KARMA (Wegner & Muschielok 2008), where the field center was selected to maximise the number of allocated arms, with priority given to the brightest targets. Optical spectroscopy of eight of our observed stars, confirming them as RSGs, was presented by Levesque & Massey (2012).

2.2. KMOS Observations

The observations were obtained as part of the KMOS Science Verification program on 2013 June 30 (PI: Evans, 60. A-9452(A)), with a total exposure time of 2400 s (comprising 8×300 s detector integrations). KMOS has 24 deployable integral-field units (IFUs), each of which covers an area of $2'8 \times 2'8$ within a $7'2$ field of view. The 24 IFUs are split into three groups of eight, with the light from each group relayed to different spectrographs.

Offset sky frames ($0'5$ to the east) were interleaved between the science observations in an object (O), sky (S) sequence of: O, S, O, O. The observations were performed with the YJ grating (giving coverage from 1.02 – $1.36 \mu\text{m}$); estimates of the mean delivered resolving power for each spectrograph (obtained from the KMOS/esorex pipeline for two arc lines) are listed in Table 1.

In addition to the science observations, a standard set of KMOS calibration frames was obtained consisting of dark, flat, and arc-lamp calibrations (with flats and arcs taken at six different rotator angles). A telluric standard star was observed with the arms configured in the science positions, i.e., using the *KMOS_spec_cal_stdstarscipatt* template in which the standard star is observed sequentially through all IFUs. The observed standard was HIP 97618, with a spectral type of B6 III (Houk & Smith-Moore 1988).

A summary of the observed targets is given in Table 2. A signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of $\gtrsim 100$ per resolution element is required for satisfactory results from this analysis method (see Gazak et al. 2014a). We estimated the S/N of the spectra by comparing the counts in the brightest spatial pixels (within the 1.15 – $1.22 \mu\text{m}$ region) of each source with the counts in equivalent spatial pixels in the corresponding sky exposures (between the sky lines). The S/N estimated is knowingly an underestimate of the true S/N achieved.

3. DATA REDUCTION

The observations were reduced using the recipes provided by the Software Package for Astronomical Reduction with KMOS (SPARK; Davies et al. 2013b). The standard KMOS/esorex routines were used to calibrate and reconstruct the science and standard-star data cubes as outlined by Davies et al. (2013b).




Figure 2. Near-IR CMD for stars classified as stellar sources in the optical and near-IR catalogs, plotted using the same symbols as Figure 1. This CMD is used to supplement the optical selection. The solid black line marks the foreground reddening vector for $E(B - V) = 0.22$ (Schlegel et al. 1998).




Figure 3. Spatial extent of the KMOS targets over a Digital Sky Survey (DSS) image of NGC 6822. Blue filled circles indicate the locations of the observed RSGs. Red open circles indicate the positions of RSG candidates selected using photometric criteria (see Section 2.1).

Sky subtraction was performed using the standard KMOS recipes and telluric correction was performed using two

different strategies. Throughout the following analysis all spectra have been extracted from their respective data cubes using a consistent method (i.e., the optimal extractions within the pipeline).

3.1. Three-arm Versus 24-arm Telluric Correction

The default template for telluric observations with KMOS is to observe a standard star in one IFU in each of the three spectrographs. However, there is an alternative template which allows users to observe a standard star in each of the 24 IFUs. This strategy should provide an optimum telluric correction for the KMOS IFUs but reduces observing efficiency.

A comparison between the two methods in the H -band was given by Davies et al. (2013b), who concluded that using the more efficient three-arm method was suitable for most science purposes. However, an equivalent analysis in the YJ -band was not available. To determine if the more rigorous telluric approach is required for our analysis, we observed a telluric standard star (HIP 97618) in each of the 24 IFUs. This gave us the data to investigate both telluric correction methods and to directly compare the results.

We first compared the standard-star spectrum in each IFU with that used by the pipeline routines for the three-arm template in each of the spectrographs. Figure 4 shows the differences between the standard-star spectra across the IFUs, where the differences in the YJ -band are comparable to those in the H -band (cf. Figure 7 from Davies et al. 2013b). The qualitative agreement between the IFUs in our region of interest ($1.15\text{--}1.22\ \mu\text{m}$) is generally very good.

To quantify the difference the two telluric methods would make to our analysis, we performed the steps described in Section 3.2 for both templates. We then used the two sets of reduced science data (reduced with both methods of the telluric correction) to compute stellar parameters for our targets. The results of this comparison are detailed in Section 4.1.

3.2. Telluric Correction Implementation

To improve the accuracy of the telluric correction, for both methods mentioned above, we implemented additional recipes beyond those of the KMOS/esorex pipeline. These recipes were employed to account for two effects which could potentially degrade the quality of the telluric correction. The first corrects for any potential shift in wavelength between each science spectrum and its associated telluric standard. The most effective way to implement this is to cross-correlate each pair of science and telluric-standard spectra. Any shift between the two is then applied to the telluric standard using a cubic-spline interpolation routine.

The second correction applied is a simple spectral scaling algorithm. This routine corrects for differences in line intensity

Table 1
Measured Velocity Resolution and Resolving Power across Each Detector

Det.	IFUs	Ne $\lambda 1.17700\ \mu\text{m}$		Ar $\lambda 1.21430\ \mu\text{m}$	
		FWHM (km s^{-1})	R	FWHM (km s^{-1})	R
1	1–8	88.04 ± 2.67	3408 ± 103	85.45 ± 2.67	3511 ± 110
2	9–16	82.83 ± 2.48	3622 ± 108	80.30 ± 3.05	3736 ± 142
3	17–24	103.23 ± 2.73	2906 ± 77	101.25 ± 2.99	2963 ± 87

Table 2
Summary of VLT-KMOS Targets in NGC 6822

ID	S/N	α (J2000)	δ (J2000)	B	V	R	J	H	K_s	RV (km s ⁻¹)	Notes
NGC 6822-RSG01	223	19:44:43.81	-14:46:10.7	20.83	18.59	17.23	14.16	13.37	13.09	-69.9 ± 3.7	Sample
NGC 6822-RSG02	120	19:44:45.98	-14:51:02.4	20.91	18.96	17.89	15.53	14.72	14.52	-66.4 ± 6.6	Sample
NGC 6822-RSG03	94	19:44:47.13	-14:46:27.1	21.30	19.41	18.41	16.13	15.35	15.12	-43.0 ± 6.0	...
NGC 6822-RSG04	211	19:44:47.81	-14:50:52.5	20.74	18.51	17.22	14.37	13.58	13.30	-64.5 ± 3.1	LM12 (M1), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG05	104	19:44:50.54	-14:48:01.6	20.83	18.95	17.97	15.75	14.98	14.79	-93.4 ± 17.1	...
NGC 6822-RSG06	105	19:44:51.64	-14:48:58.0	21.33	19.45	18.32	15.81	14.95	14.72
NGC 6822-RSG07	145	19:44:53.46	-14:45:52.6	20.36	18.43	17.38	15.06	14.30	14.08	-72.6 ± 3.5	LM12 (M4.5), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG08	103	19:44:53.46	-14:45:40.1	20.88	19.14	18.17	15.95	15.16	14.98	-73.0 ± 5.8	LM12 (K5), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG09	201	19:44:54.46	-14:48:06.2	20.56	18.56	17.35	14.43	13.67	13.34	-79.9 ± 3.7	LM12 (M1), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG10	302	19:44:54.54	-14:51:27.1	19.29	17.05	15.86	13.43	12.66	12.42	-57.2 ± 4.7	LM12 (M0), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG11	327	19:44:55.70	-14:51:55.4	19.11	16.91	15.74	13.43	12.70	12.43	-67.9 ± 3.1	LM12 (M0), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG12	100	19:44:55.93	-14:47:19.6	21.43	19.56	18.52	16.14	15.33	15.14	-61.2 ± 6.4	LM12 (K5)
NGC 6822-RSG13	106	19:44:56.86	-14:48:58.5	21.05	19.06	18.04	15.81	15.05	14.85	-55.0 ± 10.0	...
NGC 6822-RSG14	284	19:44:57.31	-14:49:20.2	19.69	17.41	16.20	13.52	12.76	12.52	-52.7 ± 4.4	LM12 (M1), Sample
NGC 6822-RSG15	124	19:44:59.14	-14:47:23.9	21.30	19.17	18.05	15.58	14.74	14.50	-74.2 ± 13.4	...
NGC 6822-RSG16	107	19:45:00.24	-14:47:58.9	21.27	19.20	18.10	15.60	14.80	14.57	-68.8 ± 4.5	...
NGC 6822-RSG17	167	19:45:00.53	-14:48:26.5	20.84	18.75	17.51	14.70	13.86	13.61	-62.1 ± 4.0	Sample
NGC 6822-RSG18	104	19:45:06.98	-14:50:31.1	21.06	19.12	18.06	15.74	14.94	14.78	-87.8 ± 9.4	Sample

Note. Optical data from Massey et al. (2007), with typical photometric uncertainty 0.016, 0.006, 0.010 in B , V , and R bands, respectively. Near-IR data from the UKIRT survey (see Sibbons et al. 2012, for details), with typical errors 0.015, 0.010, 0.012, in J , H , and K bands, respectively. Targets observed by Levesque & Massey (2012) are indicated by “LM12” in the final column (with their spectral classifications in parentheses). Targets used for abundance analysis are indicated by the comment “Sample.”




Figure 4. Comparison of J -band spectra of the same standard star in each IFU. The ratio of each spectrum compared to that from the IFU used in the three-arm telluric method is shown, with their mean and standard deviation (μ and σ , respectively). Red lines indicate $\mu = 1.0$, $\sigma = 0.0$ for each ratio. The blue shaded area signifies the region used in our analysis, within which, the discrepancies between the IFUs are generally small. This is reflected in the standard deviation values when only considering this region. (IFUs 13 and 16 are omitted as no data were taken with these IFUs.)

of the most prominent features common to both the telluric and science spectra. To find the optimal scaling parameter, the

following formula is used:

$$T_2 = (T_1 + c)/(T_1 + c), \quad (1)$$

where T_2 is the corrected telluric-standard spectrum, T_1 is the initial telluric standard spectrum and c is the scaling parameter.

To determine the required scaling, telluric spectra are computed for $-0.5 < c < 0.5$, in increments of 0.02 (where a perfect value, i.e., no difference in line strength, would be $c = 0$). Each telluric spectrum is used to correct the science data and the standard deviation of the counts across the spectral region is computed for each corrected spectrum. The minimum value of the standard-deviation matrix defines the optimum scaling. For this algorithm, only the region of interest for our analysis is considered (i.e., 1.15–1.22 μm).

The final set of telluric-standard spectra from the KMOS/esorex reductions were modified using these additional routines and were then used to correct the science observations for the effects of the Earth’s atmosphere.

As an alternative to observing telluric standard stars, a new telluric correction package, MOLECFIT, allows one to calculate a telluric spectrum based on atmospheric modeling. Briefly, the software uses a reference atmospheric profile to estimate the true profile for the time and location of the science observation. This model is then used to create a telluric spectrum which can be used to correct the observations.

This software has been shown to work well on a variety of VLT instruments (Smette et al. 2015) and has been rigorously tested using X-shooter spectra (Kausch et al. 2015). However, the package has yet to be tested thoroughly on lower resolution observations such as those from KMOS. Our first tests appear encouraging; however, pending further characterization of the KMOS data cubes (e.g., small variations in spectral resolving power leading to sky residuals, see Section 3.3), we will investigate the potential of the MOLECFIT package in future papers of this series.

3.3. Sky Subtraction

Initial inspection of the extracted stellar spectra revealed minor residuals from the sky subtraction process. Reducing these cases with the “sky_tweak” option within the KMOS/esorex reduction pipeline was ineffective to improve the subtraction of these features. Any residual sky features could potentially influence our results by perturbing the continuum placement within the model fits, which is an important aspect of the fitting process (see Gazak et al. 2014a; Davies et al. 2015, for more discussion). Thus, pending a more rigorous treatment of the data (e.g., to take into account the changing spectral resolution across the array), we exclude objects showing sky residuals from our analysis. Of the 18 observed targets, 11 were used to derive stellar parameters (as indicated in Table 2).

3.4. Stellar Radial Velocities

Radial velocities for each target are listed in Table 2. To check the accuracy of the wavelength solution provided by the data reduction pipeline, this solution is cross-correlated with the wavelength solution of a spectrum of the Earth’s telluric features.⁸ In general, this is a small correction. The science spectra are then telluric corrected in the manner described above.

Radial velocities are estimated by measuring the peak of the cross-correlation function between the telluric-corrected science spectra (taking the spectrum from the brightest spatial pixel) and an appropriate synthetic RSG spectrum. The errors are calculated by taking the dispersion of each line used to derive the radial velocities scaled by the number of lines used, following Lapenna et al. (2015). A robust radial velocity could not be derived for one of our candidates (NGC 6822-RSG06) owing to strong residual sky subtraction features, therefore, it is not considered further.

Radial velocity estimates are shown as a function of distance to the center of NGC 6822 in Figure 5. The average radial velocity for our targets is $-68 \pm 12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, in good agreement with the systemic radial velocity of the H I disk ($-57 \pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Koribalski et al. 2004). Our radial velocities also agree with estimates for the two A-type supergiants from Venn et al. (2001). This result confirms that our candidates are NGC 6822 members.

4. RESULTS

Stellar parameters (metallicity, effective temperature, surface gravity, and microturbulence) have been derived using the *J*-band analysis technique described by Davies et al. (2010) and demonstrated by Gazak et al. (2014a) and Davies et al. (2015). To estimate physical parameters, this technique uses a grid of synthetic spectra to fit observational data, in which the models are degraded to the resolution of the observed spectra (Table 1). Model atmospheres were generated using the MARCS code (Gustafsson et al. 2008) where the range of parameters is defined in Table 3. The precision of the models is increased by including departures from LTE in some of the strongest Fe, Ti and Si atomic lines (Bergemann et al. 2012, 2013). The two strong magnesium lines in our diagnostic spectral region are excluded from the analysis at present as these lines are known

Table 3
Model Grid Used for Analysis

Model Parameter	Min.	Max.	Step Size
T_{eff} (K)	3400	4000	100
	4000	4400	200
$[Z]$ (dex)	-1.50	1.00	0.25
$\log g$ (cgs)	-1.0	1.0	0.5
ξ (km s ⁻¹)	1.0	6.0	1.0




Figure 5. Radial velocities of targets shown against their distance from the galaxy center. The average radial velocity for the sample is $-68 \pm 12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The green dashed line indicates H I systemic velocity ($-57 \pm 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Koribalski et al. 2004). The radial velocities of two A-type supergiants from Venn et al. (2001) are shown in blue.

to be affected strongly by non-LTE effects (see Figure 6, where the two Mg I lines are systematically under- and overestimated, respectively). The non-LTE effects on the formation of the Mg I lines will be explored by Bergemann et al. (2014).

4.1. Telluric Comparison

We used these Science Verification data to determine which of the two telluric standard methods is most appropriate for our analysis. Table 4 details the stellar parameters derived for each target using both telluric methods, and these parameters are compared in Figure 7. The mean difference in metallicity from the two methods is $\Delta[Z] = 0.04 \pm 0.07$. Therefore, for our analysis, there is no significant difference between the two telluric approaches.

4.2. Stellar Parameters and Metallicity

Table 4 summarizes the derived stellar parameters. For the remainder of this paper, when discussing stellar parameters, we adopt those derived using the 24 arm telluric method (i.e., the results in the left-hand part of Table 4.) The average metallicity for our sample of 11 RSGs in NGC 6822 is $[Z] = -0.52 \pm 0.21$. This result is in good agreement with the average metallicity derived in NGC 6822 from blue supergiant stars (BSGs; Muschelok et al. 1999; Venn et al. 2001).

A direct comparison with metallicities from BSGs is legitimate as the results derived here yield a global metallicity

⁸ Retrieved from http://eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/decommissioned/isaac/tools/spectroscopic_standards.html




Figure 6. KMOS spectra of the NGC 6822 RSGs and their associated best-fit model spectra (black and red lines, respectively). The lines used for the analysis from left to right by species are: Fe I $\lambda\lambda$ 1.188285, 1.197305, Si I $\lambda\lambda$ 1.198419, 1.199157, 1.203151, 1.210353, Ti I $\lambda\lambda$ 1.189289, 1.194954. The two strong Mg I lines are also labeled, but are not used in the fits (see Section 4).

($[Z]$) that closely resembles the Fe/H ratio derived in Venn et al. (2001). While our $[Z]$ measurements are also affected by Si and Ti, we assume $[Z] = [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ for the purposes of our

discussion. Likewise, we can compare oxygen abundances (relative to solar) obtained from H II regions as a proxy for $[Z]$ by introducing the solar oxygen abundance $12 + \log(\text{O}/$

Table 4
Fit Parameters for Reductions Using the Two Different Telluric Methods

Target	IFU	24-arm Telluric				Three-arm Telluric			
		T_{eff} (K)	$\log g$	ξ (km s $^{-1}$)	$[Z]$	T_{eff} (K)	$\log g$	ξ (km s $^{-1}$)	$[Z]$
NGC 6822-RSG01	6	3790 \pm 80	-0.0 \pm 0.3	3.5 \pm 0.4	-0.55 \pm 0.18	3860 \pm 90	-0.1 \pm 0.5	3.5 \pm 0.4	-0.61 \pm 0.21
NGC 6822-RSG02	11	3850 \pm 100	0.4 \pm 0.5	3.5 \pm 0.4	-0.78 \pm 0.22	3810 \pm 110	0.4 \pm 0.5	3.3 \pm 0.5	-0.65 \pm 0.24
NGC 6822-RSG04	12	3880 \pm 70	0.0 \pm 0.3	4.0 \pm 0.4	-0.32 \pm 0.16	3880 \pm 70	0.0 \pm 0.3	4.0 \pm 0.4	-0.32 \pm 0.16
NGC 6822-RSG07	2	3970 \pm 60	0.4 \pm 0.5	3.9 \pm 0.4	-0.58 \pm 0.19	3990 \pm 80	0.1 \pm 0.5	3.8 \pm 0.5	-0.56 \pm 0.14
NGC 6822-RSG08	3	3910 \pm 100	0.6 \pm 0.5	3.0 \pm 0.4	-0.58 \pm 0.24	3910 \pm 100	0.6 \pm 0.5	3.0 \pm 0.4	-0.58 \pm 0.24
NGC 6822-RSG09	4	3980 \pm 60	0.1 \pm 0.4	3.7 \pm 0.4	-0.38 \pm 0.16	3990 \pm 80	-0.1 \pm 0.5	3.6 \pm 0.4	-0.44 \pm 0.17
NGC 6822-RSG10	14	3900 \pm 80	-0.3 \pm 0.5	3.7 \pm 0.4	-0.67 \pm 0.16	3850 \pm 80	-0.3 \pm 0.5	3.5 \pm 0.4	-0.59 \pm 0.19
NGC 6822-RSG11	15	3870 \pm 80	-0.4 \pm 0.5	4.2 \pm 0.5	-0.53 \pm 0.19	3850 \pm 60	-0.3 \pm 0.4	4.3 \pm 0.5	-0.49 \pm 0.17
NGC 6822-RSG14	17	3910 \pm 110	-0.5 \pm 0.5	3.6 \pm 0.5	-0.20 \pm 0.21	3880 \pm 110	-0.5 \pm 0.5	3.6 \pm 0.5	-0.15 \pm 0.24
NGC 6822-RSG17	21	3890 \pm 120	0.1 \pm 0.5	3.0 \pm 0.4	-0.43 \pm 0.28	3890 \pm 120	0.1 \pm 0.5	3.0 \pm 0.4	-0.43 \pm 0.28
NGC 6822-RSG18	18	3810 \pm 130	0.4 \pm 0.5	2.2 \pm 0.4	-0.68 \pm 0.31	3740 \pm 130	0.4 \pm 0.5	2.1 \pm 0.5	-0.54 \pm 0.41




Figure 7. Comparison of the model parameters using the two different telluric methods. In each panel, the x-axis represents stellar parameters derived using the three-arm telluric method and the y-axis represents those derived using the 24-arm telluric method. Top left: metallicity ($[Z]$), mean difference $\langle \Delta[Z] \rangle = 0.04 \pm 0.07$. Top right: effective temperature (T_{eff}), mean difference $\langle \Delta T_{\text{eff}} \rangle = -14 \pm 42$. Bottom left: surface gravity ($\log g$), mean difference $\langle \Delta \log g \rangle = -0.06 \pm 0.12$. Bottom right: microturbulence (ξ), mean difference $\langle \Delta \xi \rangle = -0.1 \pm 0.1$. In all cases, the distributions are statistically consistent with a one-to-one ratio (black lines).

$H)_{\odot} = 8.69$ (Asplund et al. 2009) through the relation $[Z] = 12 + \log(O/H) - 8.69$.

The RSG and BSG stages are different evolutionary phases within the life cycle of a massive star, while H II regions are the birth clouds which give rise to the youngest stellar population.

As the lifetimes of RSGs and BSGs are < 50 Myr, their metallicity estimates are also expected to be representative of their birth clouds.

To investigate the spatial distribution of chemical abundances in NGC 6822, in Figure 8 we show the metallicities of




Figure 8. Derived metallicities for 11 RSGs in NGC 6822 shown against their distance from the galaxy center; the average metallicity is $[Z] = -0.52 \pm 0.21$. Blue and red points show BSG results from Venn et al. (2001) and Muschiellok et al. (1999), respectively. A least-squares fit to the KMOS results reveals a low-significance abundance gradient (see text for details). For comparison, $R_{25} = 460''$ ($= 1.03$ kpc; McConnachie 2012).




Figure 9. H-R diagram for the 11 RSGs in NGC 6822. Evolutionary tracks including rotation ($v/v_c = 0.4$) for SMC-like metallicity ($Z = 0.002$) are shown in gray, along with their zero-age mass (Georgy et al. 2013). Bolometric corrections are computed using the calibration in Davies et al. (2013a). We note that compared with the evolutionary tracks, the observed temperatures of NGC 6822 RSGs are systematically cooler. This is discussed in Section 5.2.

our RSGs as a function of radial distance from the center of the galaxy, as well as the results from Venn et al. (2001) and the indicative estimates from Muschiellok et al. (1999).

A least-squares fit to the KMOS results reveals a low-significance abundance gradient within the central 1 kpc of NGC 6822 of -0.5 ± 0.4 dex kpc^{-1} . The extrapolated central metallicity from the fit (i.e., at $R = 0$) of $[Z] = -0.30 \pm 0.15$ derived remains consistent with the average metallicity assuming no gradient.

Figure 9 shows the location of our sample in the Hertzsprung–Russell (H–R) diagram. Bolometric corrections were computed using the calibration in Davies et al. (2013a) to calculate luminosities. This figure shows that the temperatures derived using the J -band method are systematically cooler than

the end of the evolutionary models (which terminate at the end of the carbon-burning phase for massive stars) for $Z = 0.002$ from Georgy et al. (2013). This is discussed in Section 5.2.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Metallicity Measurements

We find an average metallicity for our sample of $[Z] = -0.52 \pm 0.21$, which agrees well with the results derived from BSGs (Muschiellok et al. 1999; Venn et al. 2001; Przybilla 2002) and H II regions (Lee et al. 2006).

We also find evidence for a low-significance metallicity gradient within the central 1 kpc of NGC 6822 (-0.5 ± 0.4 dex kpc^{-1} with a $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.16$; see Figure 8). The gradient derived is consistent with the trend reported in Venn et al. (2001) from their results for the two BSGs compared with H II regions from Pagel et al. (1980) and two planetary nebulae from Richer & McCall (1995) at larger galactocentric distances. Our result is also consistent with the gradient derived from a sample of 49 local star-forming galaxies (Ho et al. 2015). Including the results for BSGs from Venn et al. (2001) in our analysis gives a consistent gradient (-0.48 ± 0.33 dex kpc^{-1}) with a $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.06$. Results from Muschiellok et al. (1999) are not included in the fit as these measurements were qualitative estimates of metallicity.

In contrast, Lee et al. (2006) used the oxygen abundances from 19 H II regions and found no clear evidence for a metallicity gradient. Using a subset of the highest quality H II region data available, these authors found a gradient of -0.16 ± 0.05 dex kpc^{-1} . Including these results into our analysis degrades the fit and changes the derived gradient significantly (-0.18 ± 0.05 dex kpc^{-1} ; $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.78$). At this point it is not clear whether the indication of a gradient obtained from the RSGs and BSGs is an artifact of the small sample size or indicates a difference with respect to the H II region study.

There have been a number of studies of the metallicity of the older stellar population in NGC 6822. From spectroscopy of red giant branch stars, Tolstoy et al. (2001) found a mean metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.9$ with a reasonably large spread (see their Figure 19). More recently, Sibbons et al. (2012) derived metallicities using a population of AGB stars within the central 4 kpc of NGC 6822. They found an average metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.29 \pm 0.07$ dex. Likewise, Kirby et al. (2013) used spectra of red giant stars within the central 2 kpc and found an average metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.05 \pm 0.49$ dex. We note that none of these studies found any compelling evidence for spatial variations in the stellar metallicities, which is not surprising given that, in disk galaxies, radial migration is thought to smooth out any abundance gradients over time. The stellar populations used for these studies are known to be significantly older than our sample; therefore, owing to the chemical evolution in the time since the birth of the older populations, we expect the measured metallicities to be significantly lower.

The low metallicity of the young stellar population and the interstellar medium (ISM) in NGC 6822 can be understood as a consequence of the fact that it is a relatively gas-rich galaxy with a mass $M_{\text{HI}} = 1.45 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (Koribalski et al. 2004) and a total stellar mass of $M_{*} = (0.83\text{--}1.70) \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (Woo et al. 2008; Kirby et al. 2013; Weisz et al. 2014).

The simple closed-box chemical-evolution model relates the metallicity mass fraction $Z(t)$ at any time to the ratio of stellar




Figure 10. Effective temperatures as a function of metallicity for four different data sets using the *J*-band analysis technique. There appears to be no significant variation in the temperatures of RSGs over a range of 0.55 dex. These results are compiled from the LMC, SMC (blue and red points, respectively; Davies et al. 2015), Perseus OB-1 (a Galactic RSG cluster; cyan; Gazak et al. 2014a) and NGC 6822 (green). Mean values for each data set are shown as enlarged points in the same style and color. The *x*-axis is reversed for comparison with Figure 11.




Figure 11. H–R diagram for RSGs in Perseus OB-1 (cyan), LMC (blue), SMC (red) and NGC 6822 (green) which have stellar parameters obtained using the *J*-band method. This figure shows that there appears to be no significant temperature differences between the four samples. Solid gray lines show SMC-like metallicity evolutionary models including rotation (Georgy et al. 2013). Dashed gray lines show solar metallicity evolutionary models including rotation (Ekström et al. 2012).

to gas mass M_*/M_g through

$$Z(t) = \frac{y}{1-R} \ln \left[1 + \frac{M_*(t)}{M_g(t)} \right], \quad (2)$$

where y is the fraction of metals per stellar mass produced through stellar nucleosynthesis (the so-called yield) and R is the fraction of stellar mass returned to the ISM through stellar mass loss.

According to Kudritzki et al. (2015), the ratio $y/(1-R)$ can be empirically determined from the fact that the metallicity of

the young stellar population in the solar neighborhood is solar, with a mass fraction $Z_\odot = 0.014$ (Nieva & Przybilla 2012). With a stellar-to-gas mass column density of 4.48 in the solar neighborhood (Wolfire et al. 2003; Bovy & Rix 2013) one then obtains $y/(1-R) = 0.0082 = 0.59 Z_\odot$ with an uncertainty of 15% dominated by the 0.05 dex uncertainty of the metallicity determination of the young population.

Accounting for the presence of helium and metals in the neutral interstellar gas, we can turn the observed $H I$ mass in NGC 6822 into a gas mass via $M_g = 1.36 M_{H I}$, and use the simple closed-box model to predict a metallicity of $[Z] = -0.44$ to -0.69 , in good agreement with our value obtained from RSG spectroscopy.

As discussed above, the older stellar population of AGB stars has a metallicity roughly 0.8 dex lower than the RSGs. In the framework of the simple closed-box model this would correspond to a period in time where the ratio of stellar to gas mass was ~ 0.1 (much lower than the present value of 0.42–0.86). The present star-formation rate of NGC 6822 is $\sim 0.02 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Gratier et al. 2010; Efremova et al. 2011). At such a level of star formation it would have taken 5 Gyr to produce the currently observed stellar mass and to arrive at the average metallicity of the young stellar population from that of the AGB stars (of course, again relying on the simple closed-box model). Evidence suggests that the star-formation rate was substantially lower in the past (Efremova et al. 2011; Weisz et al. 2014); therefore, the buildup of the observed stellar mass would have taken correspondingly longer.

Given the irregularities present in the stellar and gaseous morphology of NGC 6822, this galaxy may not be a good example of a closed-box system; however, it is remarkable that the closed-box model reproduces the observed metallicity so closely.

5.2. Temperatures of RSGs

Effective temperatures have been derived for 11 RSGs from our observed sample in NGC 6822. To date, this represents the fourth data set used to derive stellar parameters in this way and the first with KMOS. The previous three data sets which have been analyzed are those of 11 RSGs in Perseus OB-1, a Galactic star cluster (Gazak et al. 2014a), nine RSGs in the LMC and 10 RSGs in the SMC (both from Davies et al. 2015). These results range from $Z = Z_\odot$ in Perseus OB-1 to $Z = 0.3 Z_\odot$ in the SMC, around 0.5 dex in metallicity.

We compare the effective temperatures derived in this study with those of the previous results in different environments. Their distribution is shown as a function of metallicity in Figure 10. Additionally, Figure 11 shows the H–R diagram for the four sets of results. Bolometric corrections to calculate the luminosities for each sample were computed using the calibration in Davies et al. (2013a).

From these figures, we see no evidence for significant variations in the average temperatures of RSGs with respect to metallicity. This is in contrast to current evolutionary models which display a change of ~ 450 K, for a $M = 15 M_\odot$ model, over a range of solar to SMC-like metallicities (Ekström et al. 2012; Georgy et al. 2013).

For solar metallicity, observations in Perseus OB-1 are in good agreement with the models (see Figure 9 in Gazak et al. 2014a). However, at SMC-like metallicity, the end-points of the models are systematically warmer than the observations. The temperature of the end-points of the evolutionary models

of massive stars could depend on the choice of the convective mixing-length parameter (Schaller et al. 1992). That the models produce a higher temperature than observed could imply that the choice of a solar-like mixing-length parameter does not hold for higher mass stars at lower metallicity.

Lastly, we note that the average spectral type of RSGs tends toward an earlier spectral type with decreasing metallicity over this range (Humphreys 1979; Levesque & Massey 2012). We argue that this is not in contradiction to the above results. Spectral types are derived for RSGs using the optical TiO band-heads at $\sim 0.65 \mu\text{m}$, whereas in this study temperatures are derived using near-IR atomic features (as well as the line-free pseudo-continuum). The strengths of TiO bands are dependent upon metallicity which means that the spectral classification for RSGs at a constant temperature will differ (Davies et al. 2013b). Therefore, although historically spectral type has been used as a proxy for temperature, this assumption does not provide an accurate picture for RSGs.

5.3. AGB Contamination

As mentioned in Section 2.1, massive AGB stars are potential contaminants to our sample. These stars have similar properties to RSGs and can occupy similar mass ranges as lower mass RSGs (Herwig 2005), with lifetimes of around ~ 25 Myr (Doherty et al. 2010). Wood et al. (1983) argued for an AGB luminosity limit (owing to the limit on the mass of the degenerate core) of $M_{\text{bol}} \sim -7.1$. Using this maximum luminosity, corrected for the distance to NGC 6822, yields $K = 14.0$. Four of our analyzed stars have K -band magnitudes fainter than this limit, but excluding the results for these does not significantly alter any of our results. However, given the near-IR luminosity and colors of these stars, if indeed they are AGB stars, they are probably younger massive AGB stars (e.g., Siess 2008) and therefore would still trace the relatively young stellar population.

6. CONCLUSIONS

KMOS spectroscopy of RSG stars in NGC 6822 is presented. The data were telluric corrected in two different ways and the standard three-arm telluric method is shown to work as effectively (in most cases) as the more time expensive 24-arm telluric method. Radial velocities of the targets are derived and are shown to be consistent with previous results in NGC 6822, confirming their extragalactic nature.

Stellar parameters are calculated for 11 RSGs using the J -band analysis method outlined in Davies et al. (2010). The average metallicity within NGC 6822 is $[Z] = -0.52 \pm 0.21$, consistent with previous abundance studies of young stars. We find an indication of a metallicity gradient within the central 1 kpc, but with a low significance caused by the small size and limited spatial extent of our RSG sample. To conclusively assess the presence of a metallicity gradient among the young population within NGC 6822, a larger systematic study of RSGs is needed.

The chemical abundances of the young and old stellar populations of NGC 6822 are well explained by a simple closed-box chemical evolution model. However, while an interesting result, we note that the closed-box model is unlikely to be a good assumption for this galaxy given its morphology.

The effective temperatures of RSGs in this study are compared with RSGs analyzed using the same method in

previous studies. Using results which span 0.55 dex in metallicity (solar to SMC) within four galaxies, we find no evidence for a systematic variation in average effective temperature with respect to metallicity. This is in contrast to evolutionary models that, for a similar change in metallicity, produce a shift in the temperature of RSGs of up to 450 K. We argue that an observed shift in average spectral type of RSGs over this metallicity range does not imply a shift in average temperature.

These observations were taken as part of the KMOS Science Verification programme. With guaranteed time observations we have obtained data for RSGs in NGC 300 and NGC 55 at distances of ~ 1.9 Mpc, as well as observations of super-star clusters in M83 and the Antennae galaxy at 4.5 and 20 Mpc, respectively. Owing to the fact that RSGs dominate the light output from super-star clusters (Gazak et al. 2013) these clusters can be analyzed in a similar manner (Gazak et al. 2014a), which will provide metallicity measurements at distances a factor of 10 larger than using individual RSGs! This work is the first step toward an ambitious proposal to survey a large number of galaxies in the Local Volume, motivated by the twin goals of investigating their abundance patterns, while also calibrating the relationship between galaxy mass and metallicity in the Local Group.

We thank the referee for a thorough review and constructive comments. We thank Mike Irwin for providing the photometric catalog from the WFCAM observations. RPK and JZG acknowledge support by the National Science Foundation under grant AST-1108906. The NSO/Kitt Peak FTS high-resolution telluric spectra used here to investigate the wavelength solution were produced by NSF/NOAO.

Facilities: VLT.

REFERENCES

- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
 Battinelli, P., Demers, S., & Kunkel, W. E. 2006, *A&A*, 451, 99
 Bergemann, M., Kudritzki, R.-P., Gazak, Z., Davies, B., & Plez, B. 2014, arXiv:1412.6527
 Bergemann, M., Kudritzki, R.-P., Plez, B., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 156
 Bergemann, M., Kudritzki, R.-P., Würl, M., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 115
 Bovy, J., & Rix, H.-W. 2013, *ApJ*, 779, 115
 Cioni, M.-R. L., Girardi, L., Moretti, M. I., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 562, AA32
 Davies, B., Kudritzki, R.-P., & Figer, D. F. 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 1203
 Davies, B., Kudritzki, R.-P., Gazak, J. Z., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, in press
 Davies, B., Kudritzki, R.-P., Plez, B., et al. 2013a, *ApJ*, 767, 3
 Davies, R. I., Agudo Berbel, A., Wierorrek, E., et al. 2013b, *A&A*, 558, A56
 de Blok, W. J. G., & Walter, F. 2000, *ApJL*, 537, L95
 de Blok, W. J. G., & Walter, F. 2003, *MNRAS*, 341, L39
 de Blok, W. J. G., & Walter, F. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 343
 Demers, S., Battinelli, P., & Kunkel, W. E. 2006, *ApJL*, 636, L85
 Doherty, C. L., Siess, L., Lattanzio, J. C., & Gil-Pons, P. 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 1453
 Efremova, B. V., Bianchi, L., Thilker, D. A., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 88
 Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 537, A146
 Evans, C. J., Davies, B., Kudritzki, R.-P., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 527, A50
 Gazak, J. Z., Bastian, N., Kudritzki, R.-P., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, L35
 Gazak, J. Z., Davies, B., Bastian, N., et al. 2014a, *ApJ*, 787, 142
 Gazak, J. Z., Davies, B., Kudritzki, R., Bergemann, M., & Plez, B. 2014b, *ApJ*, 788, 58
 Georgy, C., Ekström, S., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A103
 Gratier, P., Braine, J., Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A68
 Gustafsson, B., Edvardsson, B., Eriksson, K., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 486, 951
 Hernández-Martínez, L., Peña, M., Carigi, L., & García-Rojas, J. 2009, *A&A*, 505, 1027
 Herwig, F. 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 435
 Ho, I., Kudritzki, R.-P., Kewley, L. J., et al. 2015, arXiv:1501.02668

- Houk, N., & Smith-Moore, M. 1988, *Michigan Catalogue of Two-dimensional Spectral Types for the HD Stars*, Vol. 4, (Ann Arbor: Department of Astronomy, Univ. of Michigan)
- Humphreys, R. M. 1979, *ApJ*, **231**, 384
- Huxor, A. P., Ferguson, A. M. N., Veljanoski, J., Mackey, A. D., & Tanvir, N. R. 2013, *MNRAS*, **429**, 1039
- Hwang, N., Lee, M. G., Lee, J. C., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **738**, 58
- Hwang, N., Park, H. S., Lee, M. G., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **783**, 49
- Kausch, W., Smette, S. N. A., Kimeswenger, S., et al. 2015, arXiv:1501.07239
- Kirby, E. N., Cohen, J. G., Guhathakurta, P., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **779**, 102
- Komiyama, Y., Okamura, S., Yagi, M., et al. 2003, *ApJL*, **590**, L17
- Koribalski, B. S., Staveley-Smith, L., Kilborn, V. A., et al. 2004, *AJ*, **128**, 16
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Ho, I., Schruha, A., et al. 2015, arXiv:1503.01503
- Lapenna, E., Origlia, L., Mucciarelli, A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **798**, 23
- Lee, H., Skillman, E. D., & Venn, K. A. 2006, *ApJ*, **642**, 813
- Letarte, B., Demers, S., Battinelli, P., & Kunkel, W. E. 2002, *AJ*, **123**, 832
- Levesque, E. M., & Massey, P. 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 2
- Massey, P. 1998, *ApJ*, **501**, 153
- Massey, P., McNeill, R. T., Olsen, K. A. G., et al. 2007, *AJ*, **134**, 2474
- McConnachie, A. W. 2012, *AJ*, **144**, 4
- Muschielok, B., Kudritzki, R. P., Appenzeller, I., et al. 1999, *A&A*, **352**, L40
- Nieva, M.-F., & Przybilla, N. 2012, *A&A*, **539**, A143
- Nikolaev, S., & Weinberg, M. D. 2000, *ApJ*, **542**, 804
- Pagel, B. E. J., Edmunds, M. G., & Smith, G. 1980, *MNRAS*, **193**, 219
- Przybilla, N. 2002, Thesis, Munich Univ. Observatory, Ludwig-Maximilian-Univ. Munich
- Richer, M. G., & McCall, M. L. 1995, *ApJ*, **445**, 642
- Schaller, G., Schaerer, D., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 1992, *A&As*, **96**, 269
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, 525
- Sharples, R., Bender, R., Agudo Berbel, A., et al. 2013, *Msngr*, **151**, 21
- Sibbons, L. F., Ryan, S. G., Cioni, M.-R. L., Irwin, M., & Napiwotzki, R. 2012, *A&A*, **540**, A135
- Siess, L. 2008, IAU Symposium, **252**, 297
- Smette, A., Sana, H., Noll, S., et al. 2015, arXiv:1501.07239
- Tolstoy, E., Irwin, M. J., Cole, A. A., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, **327**, 918
- Venn, K. A., Lennon, D. J., Kaufer, A., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, **547**, 765
- Wegner, M., & Muschielok, B. 2008, *Proc. SPIE*, **7019**, 0T
- Weisz, D. R., Dolphin, A. E., Skillman, E. D., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **789**, 147
- Whitelock, P. A., Menzies, J. W., Feast, M. W., Nsengiyumva, F., & Matsunaga, N. 2013, *MNRAS*, **428**, 2216
- Wolfire, M. G., McKee, C. F., Hollenbach, D., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2003, *ApJ*, **587**, 278
- Woo, J., Courteau, S., & Dekel, S. 2008, *MNRAS*, **390**, 1453
- Wood, P. R., Bessell, M. S., & Fox, M. W. 1983, *ApJ*, **272**, 99